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A practical guide to informed consent to treatment

Andrew D Oxman, Iain Chalmers, David L Sackett

A year ago BMJ Publications released a 350 page tome that many people will regard as the last word on informed consent to treatment. What is missing from this otherwise comprehensive compendium is a practical guide for clinicians at the coalface. In an effort to overcome this deficit we have generated a menu of alternative styles of informed consent. The first five options relate to consent for treatment within randomised controlled trials, and the final six are options for use in routine clinical practice. We are confident that our menu will help frontline clinicians and patients in practice. It may also help medical ethicists in theory.

Consent to treatment within randomised controlled trials

Human sacrifice randomised controlled trial consent

“The research ethics committee that approved this trial on your behalf would like you to know that future generations might (or might not) appreciate it if you would put your life at risk by joining this trial. Although we will keep your individual trial results confidential (especially if they run contrary to our hypothesis) and you are free to insist on conventional treatment or withdraw at any time, let’s face it—you are a human guinea pig. The investigators responsible for the study may have conducted a systematic review of relevant previous studies to see whether one of the alternative treatments has already been shown to be superior, but this sort of evidence is beyond the interest and competency of our local research ethics committee, which is primarily interested in protecting the host institution from lawsuits should we accidentally kill you along the way. The study results may or may not be submitted for publication, depending on whether they will advance the careers of the investigators and improve the sponsor’s market share; but you may be long gone by then anyway.”

Commercial randomised controlled trial for multicentre fun and profit consent

“We would like you to participate in a treatment trial of a new drug. We really don’t know much about it because we didn’t write the protocol and the trial is being run by a for-profit contract research organisation (since their future business depends on achieving favourable results for their sponsors, we’re confident that this trial will turn out the way they want it to). We’ve joined the study because we will receive a bounty of several thousand dollars for recruiting you into it, plus a big bonus if we can talk a dozen of you into it by the end of the month. The drug we are testing is a trivial (but patentable) modification of a generic drug from the same class. We don’t really expect it to perform any better, but we’re pulling for ‘non-inferiority’ and a tiny but statistically significant difference in unimportant side effects. We’ll be able to use that result in a series of direct to consumer television ads, so that future unsuspecting patients will demand this exorbitantly priced, me-too drug from their physicians. Of course, if the results do not favour the new drug we will bury them without trace.”

Many alternative styles of informed consent to treatment exist, along with much theory, a few principles, and different standards of consent within and outside randomised trials, but concise practical advice about the available alternatives is not readily accessible.

We have assembled a menu of options to help frontline clinicians and patients to select whichever form of consent meets their particular needs and circumstances.
Consent to treatment in routine clinical practice

Customary consent
“Here’s a prescription, Ms Jones. Let me know if you have any troubles.”

Alternative forms of standard consent to treatment
The following can be reproduced and attached to the patient’s chart: “My colleagues, lawyers, accountants, and I are careful to obtain the consent of all our patients to treatment. After carefully considering your needs, my needs, my financial aspirations (and possibly your preferences), I suggest you consent to treatment X because (tick all that apply):
- “I was taught this treatment at medical school 30 years ago, and since it’s the most time honoured treatment for your condition, it must be the best.”
- “It’s the newest treatment for your condition, so it must be the best.”

(To avoid confusion, we advise that only one of the foregoing reasons is offered to a particular patient about the same treatment.)

- “It’s the most expensive treatment for your condition, so it must be the best. Why else would I have bought so much stock in the company that manufactures it?”
- “A famous movie star/professional athlete/politician wrote a heart warming endorsement of this treatment in Readers’ Digest last year. Indeed, Mrs Reagan’s astrologer recommends it.”
- “I get a kickback from the manufacturer every time I stick one of these gizmos into a patient.”
- “This drug’s manufacturer pays for pizza at our lunchtime conferences, and the pens they distribute are very reliable.”
- “This drug’s manufacturer has given me a hand held computer that’s full of all the latest drug prescribing information (they update it on line every month), and it even writes my prescriptions for me. Moreover, I’ve just learnt that the software they use to update my computer also secretly downloads my prescriptions for the previous month, and I don’t want to appear ungrateful by prescribing products made by their competitors.”
- “Carruthers, who is a member of my lodge, told me that his company makes the kit, and I feel it’s only right to support a fellow freemason.”
- “My medical school/hospital has sold out to the firm that manufactures this drug. They give us huge grants for our research programmes, and we give them first call on any discoveries we make. Their stockholders may vote this money to somebody else if our prescribing behaviour threatens their bottom line.”
- “I am indebted to the company that makes this drug—not just for first class travel and accommodation at some very enjoyable conference holidays during the conference season but also for paying me huge fees for lecturing about their drugs in a way that minimises their shortcomings and warns against other drugs in their class.”

This is not an exhaustive list of options, but we are confident that it provides readers with a starting point from which they can mix and match and generate additional alternatives.

American emergency consent to treatment
“Before we can operate on you, our lawyers insist that you sign this piece of paper. You may request a magnifying glass to read the fine print. A charge for this will be added to your bill. If you have received narcotics to relieve your pain before signing this form, please indicate this on pages 12, 21, and 54. If you are still in severe pain, you may rip up pages 56 to 75 and chew on page 76. A charge for this will be added to your bill. If you would like to consult a lawyer before signing this form, we have a highly paid staff of lawyers on call who can assist you. Charges for this service are listed on pages 77 to 80. If you would like to know what the evidence is that the procedure will help you, we would be happy to tell you about our excellent experience with patients just like yourself. Fortunately, no one has ever conducted a proper evaluation of this procedure, so our excellent experience is the best available evidence. Trust us. If you would like to question our excellent experience, we would be happy to call a taxi for you. If you are currently unconscious, aphasic, illiterate, unable to communicate in English, or uninsured, please get out of our emergency room at once.”

Cultural imperialism consent to treatment
“I must insist that you tell the patient’s family members and the village headman that they must leave the consulting room. They have no part to play here. Although it may be customary for these people to be involved in treatment decisions in your culture, the superior ethical position we have developed in Western culture is that the primacy of the individual is recognised in all things—apart from corporate power, that is. After receiving detailed documents that he or she is unable to read about treatment options and effects, the patient must sign (or make some sort of mark on) the treatment contract. This contract will be unambiguously between the patient and the corporation for which I work. Because the customer is always right, it is important that the patient should shoulder the responsibility for treatment decisions. That way, the patient can take the blame if the treatment doesn’t work. If this way of securing consent to treatment is unacceptable in your culture, the superior ethical position we have developed in Western culture is that the primacy of the individual is recognised in all things.”

American emergency consent to treatment
“Before we can operate on you, our lawyers insist that you sign this piece of paper. You may request a magnifying glass to read the fine print. A charge for this will be added to your bill. If you have received narcotics to relieve your pain before signing this form, please indicate this on pages 12, 21, and 54. If you are still in severe pain, you may rip up pages 56 to 75 and chew on page 76. A charge for this will be added to your bill. If you would like to consult a lawyer before signing this form, we have a highly paid staff of lawyers on call who can assist you. Charges for this service are listed on pages 77 to 80. If you would like to know what the evidence is that the procedure will help you, we would be happy to tell you about our excellent experience with patients just like yourself. Fortunately, no one has ever conducted a proper evaluation of this procedure, so our excellent experience is the best available evidence. Trust us. If you would like to question our excellent experience, we would be happy to call a taxi for you. If you are currently unconscious, aphasic, illiterate, unable to communicate in English, or uninsured, please get out of our emergency room at once.”
Patients’ rights consent to treatment

“If you expect me, as your patient, to accept the treatment you are prescribing for me, it is only fair that I inform you about my requirements. Firstly, I expect you to have consulted systematic reviews of reliable evidence about the relative merits and demerits of the various treatment alternatives available to me. And don’t try telling me that you have decided to ignore that evidence because the people in the research studies weren’t very like me. That’s an admission that all research is irrelevant because it was all done in the past and all done on other people. I’ll only accept a specific argument that the research evidence is clearly irrelevant to me and my circumstances. If you give me a treatment that has been shown to be harmful in a high quality systematic review, I will sue you, even though I am British. If, after considering my needs and preferences, you are uncertain about the relative merits of the treatment options available to me, I expect you to invite me to participate in a randomised comparison. This is because I am aware of the evidence suggesting that people treated within randomised controlled trials do better than others, so that I can hedge my bets in the face of this uncertainty, and to increase the chances that I will benefit from reduced uncertainty in future as a result of the evaluation. None of the foregoing is to suggest that I expect you to behave like an unhinging, insensitive automaton in responding to my request for your help. On the contrary, I expect you to use the clinical skills, judgment, and intangible personal resources that characterise a thoughtful, reflective, evidence based practitioner. But I thought I should make my position clear because I can’t abide the arrogance of those doctors who continue to maintain that systematically assembled research evidence is irrelevant to them and their patients.”

Interactive, personalised approach to informed consent

“Good morning Mrs Jones, my name is Dr Smith. Please sit down and make yourself comfortable. Your general practitioner has probably explained to you that he has asked me to see you because your breathlessness doesn’t seem to be getting any better, and he wondered whether I might be able to suggest ways of helping. I hope I will be able to do so, but this may well mean seeing you on several occasions over the next few months and working together to find the best treatment for your condition.

“I’m more likely to be able to help if I can get to know more about you and your priorities and preferences. As this is the first time we’ve met, I thought it might be helpful to mention briefly how I will try to do this. Patients vary in the amount of information that they want to give to and receive from their doctors. Most patients seem to get less information from their doctors than they want, but others would rather not be told some of the things that some doctors assume that they must want to know. Because you and I don’t know each other yet, I’m going to need your help in learning how much information you want about your problem, and about the possible treatment options. I’m going to depend on you to prompt me to give you more information if you think I’m not being sufficiently forthcoming, or to tell me that you’ve heard enough if you think I’m overdoing it. You also need to know that I will never lie in response to a straight question from you, and if I don’t know the answer I will do my best to find it for you. Does that seem to you to be an acceptable way of proceeding?”
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Humbug

I love Christmas. I love the carols, the companionship, the coldness, the friends coming home, the hot whiskies and iced beers, the innocence, the magic, in the distance the horns of elfland faintly blowing.

But I love it too much. Like the smell of coffee or the prospect of making love to a beautiful woman, it can never live up to expectations, and you always need a smoke afterwards, maybe a cup of coffee.

Instead of my beautiful fantasy, what we have is a tawdry commercial extravaganza, trinkets in the shops from October on, PA systems in shopping centres relentlessly churning out “Merry Xmas Everybody.”

It’s all bollocks. All those little Nativity scenes on Christmas cards, Mary surprisingly fashionable in a deep blue gown, a rather elderly Joseph seemingly resigned if a bit depressed, the lighting soft and cosy as if there is a neat little campfire somewhere, the straw as inviting as a feather bed, the immaculately groomed animals looking benignly on.

But have any of you ever been unlucky enough to be in a stable on a cold December night? My Uncle Paid kept a few cows, mostly just to annoy me I reckon, so I have tasted of that particular bitter cup. A stable in winter is as uncomfortable as it is possible to be; cold, damp, dirty, mucky, there is a stink of sweaty animals and cow dung, the straw is both wet and itchy at the same time, and if you could light a fire, even with the straw being soggy the place would go up in flames before you could say “J....C.... , put that match out,” and the whole Nativity family would have been torched instantly and history taken a different course.

Even the giving and receiving of presents is flawed. All actions are ultimately self referential, observed Spinoza, and he wasn’t far wrong. We like to think that Christmas gifts from our patients are a symbol of generosity and gratitude, a sign that our relationship is not purely cold and professional, that the care we give to them comes from our hearts. But I have a salutary little parable.

Last Christmas an art student whom I’d been counselling for a few months and working together to find the best treatment for your condition.

I’m more likely to be able to help if I can get to know more about you and your priorities and preferences. As this is the first time we’ve met, I thought it might be helpful to mention briefly how I will try to do this. Patients vary in the amount of information that they want to give to and receive from their doctors. Most patients seem to get less information from their doctors than they want, but others would rather not be told some of the things that some doctors assume that they must want to know. Because you and I don’t know each other yet, I’m going to need your help in learning how much information you want about your problem, and about the possible treatment options. I’m going to depend on you to prompt me to give you more information if you think I’m not being sufficiently forthcoming, or to tell me that you’ve heard enough if you think I’m overdoing it. You also need to know that I will never lie in response to a straight question from you, and if I don’t know the answer I will do my best to find it for you. Does that seem to you to be an acceptable way of proceeding?”
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